IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION.

WRIT PETITION NO.10069 OF 2004

Mrs Sunita Dinesh Motwani, .. Petitioner

Vs

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. .. Respondents

Mr A.M.Saraogi, for the petitioner.

Mr R.T.Lalwani, for the respondents.

CORAM : D.B.BHOSALE,J.

DATE : 21st December, 2004.

PC:

1. Heard the learned counsel for the parties.

2. This petition is directed against the order by which the amendment application filed by the respondent-husband has been allowed. Keeping in view the observations made in the impugned order and in particular paragraph 8 thereof, I am not inclined to interfere with the order impugned in this petition in supervisory jurisdiction of this Court under Article 227 of the Constitution of India. Considering the submissions of Mr Saraogi, learned counsel for the petitioner, it is needless to express that the amended prayer be considered in the light of the averments made in the written statement of the respondent-husband filed

in M.J. Petition No.A-222/2000. The writ petition is, accordingly, disposed of.

. An authenticated copy of this order may be made available to the parties.

(D.B.BHOSALE, J.)